The Titanium Dioxide Sensitised Photo-Oxidation of Sulphides

R. Stephen Davidson and Julie E. Pratt (Department of Chemistry, The City University, Northampton Square, London EC1V OHB)

Summary: Titanium dioxide has been shown to photosensitise the oxidation of sulphides to give sulphoxides and sulphones. Evidence is presented against the involvement of singlet oxygen and in favour of the sulphide radical cations as being intermediates.

Semiconductors are finding use as sensitisers for a number of organic photochemical reactions. Recently, the ability of particulate titanium dioxide to sensitise the oxidation of alcohols has been utilised to develop a method for generating hydrogen from cellulose waste. Much work has been carried out on the sensitised decarboxylation of alkanoic acids² and on the splitting of photodimers, e.g. the anthracene photodimer.³ Of particular interest is the ability of semiconductors to sensitise photo-oxidation reactions. Both colloi dal^4 and particulate^{5,6} titanium dioxide have been shown to sensitise the oxidation of alkenes, alkyl aromatic and related compounds. Cadmium sulphide has been shown to sensitise the oxidative dealkylation of N-methylated aromatic amines⁸ and titanium dioxide to sensitise the oxidation of lactams, N-alkylamines⁹ and aliphatic amines.¹⁰ The latter undergo N-formylation and α -Coxidative cleavage upon titanium dioxide catalysed photo-oxidation.

We now report that titanium dioxide can act as a sensitiser for the oxidation of Irradiation of a suspension of titanium dioxide (0.05g, Anatase) in sulphides. oxygenated acetonitrile solution (5 mls) containing a sulphide (5 x 10^{-3} M) was carried out using fluorescent lamps having a maximal emission at 350 nm (no light was absorbed by the sulphides). The rate of oxidation was monitored by

5903

glc analysis and the rates of consumption of sulphide are shown in Table 1. All

the allylic sulphides yielded the corresponding sulphoxides and sulphones. However, with the exception of di-n-hexylsulphide the combined yield of sulphoxide and sulphone was always lower than the amount of sulphide consumed in the reaction (Table 1). It would therefore appear that these sulphides are undergoing other reactions. The rates of formation of oxidation products do not correlate with the relative rate constants¹¹ for reaction of the sulphides with singlet oxygen. Thus if the reaction had been singlet oxygen mediated the following order of reactivity should have been observed:-

di-n-butylsulphide (9.1 x $10^{6} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) > 1,4-dithiane (1.5 x $10^{6} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) > di-t-butylsulphide (~ 1.5 x $10^{5} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) > diphenyl sulphide (1 x $10^{6} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) (rate constants are given in brackets¹¹) whereas the observed order of reactivity was found to be:-

di-n-hexylsulphide > diphenylsulphide > di-n-butylsulphide > di-t-butylsulphide. This indicates that, as reported^{5,6} for the titanium dioxide sensitised photooxidation of alkenes, singlet oxygen is not the reactive species responsible for oxidation.

Table 1	Rates of consumption of sulphide during titanium dioxide	
	catalysed photo-oxidation, in oxygenated acetonitrile	
	solution, and the percentage of reaction which led to	
	the production of sulphoxides and sulphones	

Sulphide	Rate M (s ⁻¹)	Reaction leading to sulphoxide and sulphone production (%)
di-n-butylsulphide	8.9×10^{-7}	42
di-t-butylsulphide	4.4×10^{-7}	21
di-n-hexylsulphide	2.3×10^{-6}	100
diphenyl sulphide	6.4 x 10^{-7}	62
1,4-dithiane	5.1 \times 10 ⁻⁷	a

a - not determined

Although the other reactions which lead to consumption of the sulphide remain to be characterised, the finding that small quantities of sulphur are deposited on the oxide surface (characterised by x-ray fluorescence), the observation of disulphides as products (in low yield) from the reaction of di-(n-butyl), di-(tbutyl), diphenylsulphides and biphenyl as an additional product from the latter suggests that some C-S bond cleavage is involved.

We propose that the oxidation of the sulphides occurs as follows:-

$$TiO_{2} \longrightarrow TiO_{2}(h^{+}) + e^{-}$$

$$TiO_{2}(h^{+}) + R_{2}S \longrightarrow R_{2}S^{+}$$

$$e^{-} + O_{2} \longrightarrow O_{2}^{-}$$

$$R_{2}S^{+} + O_{2}^{-} \longrightarrow [R_{2}^{+}SOO^{-}] \longrightarrow \text{ oxidation products}$$

$$R_{2}S^{+} \longrightarrow R^{+} + RS^{+}$$

$$R_{2}S^{+} \longrightarrow R^{*} + RS^{+} (R = Ph)$$

$$2RS^{*} \longrightarrow RS - SR$$

$$2R^{*} \longrightarrow R - R$$

Scheme 1

The photo-oxidation of sulphides is commonly interpreted as occuring via an intermediate of the type $[R_2 $00^-]$, in aprotic solvents.¹² Formation of products from such a species is believed to occur as outlined below.



Scheme 2

The formation of sulphide radical cations (R_2S) has been postulated as a primary step in the cyanoaromatic, e.g. 9,10-dicyanoanthracene, sensitised electron transfer photo-oxidation of sulphides¹³ In these reactions oxidation products are proposed as occuring via the reaction of the sulphide radical cation with the superoxide radical ion. Products derived via C-S bond cleavage in the titanium dioxide sensitised photo-oxidation of sulphides can be explained on the basis of dissociation of R_2S radical cations as outlined in Scheme 1.

Acknowledgements We wish to thank Mr. C.L. Morrison for the titanium dioxide and the SERC for a maintenance grant to J.E.P.

References

- 1. T. Sakata and T. Kawai, Nouv. J. de. Chimie, 5, 279 (1981).
- 2. B. Kraeutler and A.J. Bard, Nouv. J. de Chimie, <u>3</u>, 31 (1979).
- 3. R.A. Barber, P. de Mayo and K. Ukada, J.C.S. Chem. Commun., 1073 (1982).
- 4. M.A. Fox, B. Lindig and C-C. Chen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 104, 5828 (1982).
- 5. T. Kanno, T. Oguchi, H. Sakuragi and K. Tokumaru, Tet. Letters, <u>21</u>, 467 (1980).
- 6. M.A. Fox and C-C. Chen, Tet. Letters, 547 (1983).
- 7. M.N. Mozzanega, J.M. Herrmann and P. Pichat, Tet. Letters 2965 (1977).
- 8. T. Watanabe, T. Takizawa and K. Honda, J. Phys. Chem. 81, 1845 (1977).
- 9. J.W. Pavlike and S. Tantayanon, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 103, 6755 (1981).
- 10. M.A. Fox and M-J. Chen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 105, 4497 (1983).
- 11. F. Wilkinson and J.G. Brummer, J. Phys and Chem. Ref. Data, 10, 809 (1981).
- J-J. Liang, C-L. Gu, M.L. Kacher and C.S. Foote, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>105</u>, 4717 (1983).
- J. Eriksen, C.S. Foote and T.L. Parker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>99</u>, 6455 (1977).

(Received in UK 7 October 1983)